

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION**

<b>RAYMOND KIM,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Plaintiff,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>V.</b>	)	<b>CIVIL ACTION NO. SA-22-CA-01142-FB</b>
	)	
<b>BIBLE STUDY FELLOWSHIP, RUSS</b>	)	
<b>MANTZEL, RYAN DOUGLAS, BILL</b>	)	
<b>TZETZOS, ROMANA BISHOP, RICK</b>	)	
<b>MAZUR, SUSIE ROWEN, and CINDY</b>	)	
<b>LENARD,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Defendants.</b>	)	

**ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION  
OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge (docket no. 17) filed in the above-captioned cause on July 19, 2023. To date, no objections to the Report and Recommendation have been received.<sup>1</sup>

Because no party has objected to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, the Court need not conduct a de novo review. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) ("A judge of the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings and recommendations to which objection is made."). The Court has reviewed the Report and Recommendation and finds its reasoning to be neither clearly erroneous nor contrary to law. *United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 492 U.S. 918 (1989).

---

<sup>1</sup> Any party who desires to object to a Magistrate's findings and recommendations must serve and file his, her or its written objections within fourteen days after being served with a copy of the findings and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 635(b)(1). If service upon a party is made by mailing a copy to the party's last known address, "service is complete upon mailing." FED. R. CIV. P. 5(b)(2)(C). If service is by electronic means, "service is complete upon transmission." *Id.* at (E).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge (docket no. 17) is ACCEPTED pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) such that this case is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 4(m) and 41(b).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that motions pending with the Court, if any, are Dismissed as Moot and this case is CLOSED.

It is so ORDERED.

SIGNED this 23rd day of August, 2023.



---

FRED BIERY  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE